



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,006	05/19/2006	Lars Kristensen	IPB.026	7629
48234 7590 08/03/2009 MEREK, BLACKMON & VOORHEES, LLC 673 S. WASHINGTON ST ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				
EXAMINER				
FONSECA, JESSIE T				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3633				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/03/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p align="center">Interview Summary</p>	Application No. 10/580,006	Applicant(s) KRISTENSEN, LARS	
	Examiner JESSIE FONSECA	Art Unit 3633	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JESSIE FONSECA.

(3) John Shannon.

(2) Robert Canfield.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 29 July 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Dictionary definitions of 'flange' and 'rib'.

Claim(s) discussed: 10, 13-15 and 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: Leue et al. '832 and Endo et al. '518.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was agreed that defining the spacing of the grooves in the horizontal direction would overcome the rejection to Leue et al. Further, it was agreed defining the window assembly to have a drainage exit would overcome the rejection to Endo et al. Upon receipt of an RCE, further consideration will given.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/J. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 3633	/Robert J Canfield/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3635
------------------------------------	---